EXHIBIT 16.2 STIPULATION AND FORM OF JUDGMENT

	Marvin S. Cohen (Bar No. 000923) Marvin.Cohen@SacksTierney.com Judith M. Dworkin (Bar No. 010849) Judith.Dworkin@SacksTierney.com SACKS TIERNEY P.A. 4250 North Drinkwater Boulevard, 4 th Flood Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Telephone: (480) 425-2600 Attorneys for City of Tucson	or	
6	David P. Frank (Bar No. 004440)		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	Thomas E. Luebben, Esq. tluebben@luebbenlaw.com LUEBBEN JOHNSON & BARNHOUSE LLP 211 - 12th Street NW Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 Telephone: (505) 842-6123		
12			
13			
14	Admitted to practice in this Court by certific Attorneys for Allottee Plaintiffs	Admitted to practice in this Court by certificates dated 2/13/90 and 7/17/91 Attorneys for Allottee Plaintiffs	
15	Robert B. Hoffman, Esq. (Bar No. 004415)	Robert B. Hoffman, Esq. (Bar No. 004415)	
16	rhoffman(a)lawssd.com SOMACH, SIMMONS & DUNN		
17	6035 N. 45 th Street Paradise Valley, AZ 85253		
18	Telephone: (602) 524-9459 Attorney for Farmers Investment Co.		
19			
20	UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
21	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
22	UNITED STATES, et al.,	No. CV 75-039 TUC FRZ	
23	Plaintiffs,	·	
24	V.	(Consolidated with CV No. 75-051)	
25	CITY OF TUCSON, et. al.,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28	600654.01		
	600654.01		

FELICIA ALVAREZ, et al., No. CV 93-039 TUC FRZ Plaintiffs, CITY OF TUCSON, et al., Defendants. NOTICE OF FILING AMENDED EXHIBIT 16.2 TO THE TOHONO O'ODHAM SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT The parties hereto, by and through their undersigned attorneys herewith, give notice of filing of the Amended Exhibit 16.2 to the Tohono O'odham Settlement Agreement. A copy of the Amended Exhibit 16.2 is attached hereto and made a part hereof. Dated this 3rd day of February, 2006. SACKS TIERNEY P.A. By s/ Judith M. Dworkin Attorneys for City of Tucson OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL By s/ David P. Frank Attorney for Tohono O'odham Nation 600654.01

LUEBBEN, JOHNSON & BARNHOUSE

By s/ Thomas E. Luebben
Attorneys for United States v. City of
Tucson Allottee Class
Attorneys for Felicia Alvarez v. City of
Tucson Allottee Classes

SOMACH, SIMMONS & DUNN

By: s/ Robert B. Hoffman
Attorney for Farmers Investment Co.

 600654.01

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

City of Tucson, et al.,

Defendants.

Felicia Alvarez, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

City of Tucson, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

City of Tucson, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

This matter having come before the court for hearing, pursuant to the Order of the Court, dated October 12, 2005, on the Joint Motion of plaintiffs and defendants for approval of the settlement set forth in the Tohono O'odham Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") dated April 30, 2003, amended to conform with Public Law 108-451, 118 Stat. 3478, due and adequate notice having been given to the Plaintiff Allottee Classes as required in the Order, the Court having considered all papers filed, and argument and evidence provided at the proceeding and otherwise being fully informed in the matter, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. This Final Judgment in Case No. CV 75-039 TUC FRZ (Consolidated with CV No. 75-051) and Partial Judgment in Case No. CV 93-039 TUC FRZ (collectively, the "Judgment") incorporates by reference the definitions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all parties to this litigation, including all members of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes.
- 3. This Court hereby approves the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement") and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes. Consummation of the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement is approved.
- 4. The Settlement is binding upon: all parties to this consolidated litigation including (a) the Tohono O'odham Nation, (b) all persons (i) that hold a beneficial real property interest in an Indian allotment that is located within the San Xavier Reservation and is held in trust by the United States or (ii) that hold fee simple title in real property on the San Xavier reservation that, at any time before the date on which the person acquired fee simple title, was held in trust by the United States as an Indian allotment and (iii) who have not timely elected to be excluded from the Classes as provided by the Court in its Order dated October 12, 2005, (c) the United States, (d) the city of Tucson, (e) Farmers Investment Company and the Farmers Water Company (together referred to as "FICO"), (f) Asarco Mining Company ("Asarco") and all other defendant parties.
- 5. By reason of the Settlement, members of the Allottee Classes (along with the San Xavier District) are entitled to:
 - a. a first right of beneficial use to 35,000 acre feet per year of Central Arizona Project ("CAP") water of the 50,000 acre feet per year of CAP water deliverable to the San Xavier District,

- b. 10,000 acre feet per year of groundwater pumping right,
- c. a right to "bank" in a deferred pumping storage account groundwater not pumped in any year and pump up to an additional 10,000 acre feet per year or a maximum of 50,000 acre feet in any 10-year period of deferred groundwater pumping credits,
 - d. a right to pump groundwater from Exempt Wells,
- e. a right to the use of direct recharge credits to pump water from the ground that are not marketable under state law,
- f. protections for due process and other rights pursuant to an allottee water rights code,
- g. the right to have the San Xavier District elect to accept a cash-out of \$18,300,000 (plus interest from January 1, 2008 until the cash-out) in lieu of construction of a new farm within the San Xavier Reservation, funds to be controlled, managed and invested by the San Xavier District and used for governmental and social services for the San Xavier community and the allottees,
- h. state limitations on approval of new pumping from the area in close proximity to the San Xavier Reservation,
- i. the sum of up to \$891,200 for a water management plan for the San Xavier Reservation,
- j. the sum of \$300,000 from the city of Tucson in 5 annual installments of \$60,000 for the repair of Sinkholes that have occurred on the San Xavier Reservation,
- k. an agreement with FICO to limit pumping by FICO to no more than 850 acre feet per year from within 2 miles of the San Xavier Reservation and to further limit pumping to 36,000 acre feet per year not including water stored in the ground from all FICO's lands,
- 1. an agreement with Asarco to use CAP water thereby limiting Asarco's groundwater pumping on and near the San Xavier Reservation, and
 - m. a right to benefit from the sale of marketable groundwater credits obtained

through the use by Asarco of CAP rather than groundwater.

- 6. In exchange for the benefits provided in the Settlement and effective on the Enforceability Date, each Plaintiff Allottee Class irrevocably and unconditionally waives and releases:
 - a. any and all past, present, and future claims for Water Rights (including claims based on aboriginal occupancy) arising from time immemorial and, thereafter, forever, claims for Injury to Water Rights from time immemorial through the Enforceability Date, and claims for future Injury to Water Rights for land within the San Xavier Reservation, against the State (or any agency or political subdivision of the State), any municipal corporation; and any other person or entity (other than the Nation);
 - b. any and all claims for Water Rights arising from time immemorial and, thereafter, forever, claims for Injury to Water Rights arising from time immemorial through the Enforceability Date, claims for failure to protect, acquire, or develop Water Rights for land within the San Xavier Reservation from time immemorial through the Enforceability Date, against the United States, in any capacity, (including any agency, officer, and employee of the United States);
 - c. any and all claims for Injury to Water Rights arising after the Enforceability Date for land within the San Xavier Reservation resulting from the off-Reservation diversion or use of water in a manner not in violation of the Settlement or State law against the United States, in any capacity, the State (or any agency or political subdivision of the State), any municipal corporation, and any other person or entity;
 - d. any and all past, present, and future claims arising out of or relating to the negotiation or execution of this Agreement or the negotiation or enactment of the SAWRSA Amendments, against the United States, the State (or any agency or political subdivision of the State), any municipal corporation; and any other person or entity; and

e. any and all past, present, and future claims for Water Rights arising from time immemorial and, thereafter, forever, and claims for Injury to Water Rights arising from time immemorial through the Enforceability Date, against the Nation (except that under subsection 307(a)(1)(G) and subsections (a) and (b) of section 308 of the SAWRSA Amendments, the Allottees and Fee Owners of Allotted Land shall retain rights to share in the water resources granted or confirmed under the SAWRSA Amendments and this Agreement with respect to uses within the San Xavier Reservation).

- 7. In exchange for the benefits provided in the Tucson Agreement and effective on the Enforceability Date, each Plaintiff Allottee Class irrevocably and unconditionally waives and releases:
 - a. any and all claims against the city of Tucson (including any agency, officer and employee of the City) for injuries to land within the Tucson Management Area resulting from Sinkholes, Land Subsidence or erosion under Federal, State and other laws which may otherwise have been enforceable by money damages, declaratory relief, injunction, or other remedy arising from time immemorial to the Enforceability Date and thereafter forever; and
 - b. any and all past, present and future claims against the United States (including any agency, officer and employee of the United States) for injuries to land within the Tucson Management Area resulting from Sinkholes, Land Subsidence or erosion caused by or resulting from the actions or inactions of the City of Tucson under Federal, State and other laws which may otherwise have been enforceable by money damages, declaratory relief, injunction, or other remedy.
- 8. In exchange for the benefits provided in the Asarco Agreement and effective on the Enforceability Date, each Plaintiff Allottee Class irrevocably and unconditionally waives and releases:
 - a. all claims against Asarco arising out of Asarco's withdrawal of water from beneath the ground within the Tucson Management Area from time immemorial

through the Enforceability Date; and

b. all claims against Asarco that may arise after the Enforceability Date to the extent that such claims arise out of Asarco's withdrawal of water within the Tucson Management Area pursuant to its existing Type 1 and Type 2 state law water rights and withdrawals of stored water as defined on the Enforceability Date in A.R.S. § 45-802.01, except as such rights are agreed to be limited in the Settlement.

- 9. In exchange for the benefits provided in the FICO Agreement and effective on the Enforceability Date, each Plaintiff Allottee Class irrevocably and unconditionally waives and releases:
 - a. all claims against FICO arising out of FICO's withdrawal of water from beneath the ground within the Tucson Management Area from time immemorial through the Enforceability Date; and
 - b. all claims against FICO that may arise after the Enforceability Date to the extent that such claims arise out of FICO's withdrawal of water within the Tucson Management Area pursuant to its existing Irrigation Type 1 and Type 2 state law water rights and withdrawals of stored water as defined on the Enforceability Date in A.R.S. § 45-802.01, except as such rights are agreed to be limited in this Agreement.
- 10. With respect to the releases contained in the Settlement, the Court finds that the Plaintiff Allottee Classes expressly understand and agree that the Settlement fully and finally releases and forever resolves the matters released and discharged in paragraphs 6 through 9 above and in the Settlement Agreement, including those which may be unknown, unanticipated or unsuspected. Each Plaintiff Allottee Class acknowledges that it is aware that the class members may hereafter discover facts relevant to the subject matter of this Settlement, but that it is the intention of each member of the Plaintiff Allottee Class hereby to fully, finally and forever settle and release all of the claims, disputes and differences known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, except as otherwise expressly provided herein.
 - 11. The Defendant parties to the Settlement agree that the Settlement represents

a compromise of disputed claims without admission of any fact or allegation.

- 12. Following entry of this Judgment, the representatives of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes shall execute the Settlement Agreement on behalf of the members of the respective classes.
- 13. This Judgment shall be an exhibit to the Stipulation and Request for Entry of Judgment and Decree in the Arizona state court adjudication proceeding entitled In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source, No. W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (the "Gila River Adjudication Court").
- 14. With the exception of the use of this Judgment in the Gila River Adjudication Court, neither this Judgment nor any other order entered in this consolidated litigation shall constitute an admission of liability or of any other fact by any party, and no such document or order shall have any res judicata, collateral estoppel or issue preclusive effect in any other or subsequent proceeding.
- 15. The Settlement Agreement and all exhibits and attachments thereto including the separate agreements referred to as the Tucson Agreement, the FICO Agreement and the Asarco Agreement are incorporated herein by this reference and are made a part of this Judgment. This Judgment does not diminish the rights and obligations of the parties under the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. The above-captioned case of *United States v. Tucson*, CV 75-039 TUC FRZ (consolidated with CV 75-051) and Causes of Action 1 through 3 of *Alvarez v. Tucson*, CV 93-039 TUC FRZ, are dismissed with prejudice effective upon the publication by the Secretary of the Interior of a notice in the Federal Register of completion of all actions necessary to make the settlement effective as required by Section 302(b) of the Arizona Water Settlements Act of 2004, Public Law 108-451, 118 Stat. 3478. Without limiting the generality and legal effect of the foregoing, the dismissal with prejudice extends to all claims ever asserted in this Consolidated Litigation individually or on behalf of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes except those claims raised in Causes of Action 4 and 5 of *Alvarez v. Tucson*, CV 93-039 TUC FRZ.

- 17. All members of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes as of January 14, 2006 shall conclusively be deemed to be and remain members of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes, to have given the releases described in Paragraphs 6 through 9 above, and to be bound by the Settlement and this Judgment.
- 18. All members of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes are barred and permanently enjoined from instituting, asserting or prosecuting, directly, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, any claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 19. The Notice given to the Plaintiff Allottee Classes of the Settlement as described in the Joint Motion and the Order constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of these proceedings and of the matters set forth in the Notice, including the Settlement set forth in the Joint Motion, to all persons entitled to such Notice, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process and applicable law.
- 20. The Court having considered any objections filed by members of the Plaintiff Allottee Classes to entry of this Judgment, and having found those objections, if any, to be without merit in the circumstances, all such objections are overruled and denied.
- 21. Upon publication of the notice in the Federal Register identified in paragraph 16, the parties are directed to file a copy of the Federal Register notice with the Court.
 - 22. Causes of Action 4 and 5 of Alvarez v. Tucson are not dismissed.
- 23. Pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court further expressly finds and determines that there is no just reason for delay and therefore expressly directs that this Judgment be entered as a final judgment.

DATED this 14th day of June, 2006.

United States District Judge